- 1		
1 2 3 4 5	KEITH A. WEAVER Nevada Bar No. 10271 ALISSA N. BESTICK Nevada Bar No. 14979C LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 Attorneys for Defendant Scott R. Ferguson,	
6	M.D.	
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
9		
10	TRINA PARKER, as an individual;	CDS CASE NO. 2:18-cv-02291- RFB -BNW ¹
11	Plaintiff,	THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT PRE-
12	VS.	TRIAL ORDER
13	DIGNITY HEALTH d/b/a ST. ROSE	
14 15	DOMINICAN HEALTH HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS, as a Corporation; SCOTT R. FERGUSON, M.D., as an individual,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Following pre-trial proceedings in this case,	
19	IT IS ORDERED:	
20		I.
21	STATEMENT OF THE NATURE OF THE ACTION	
22	A. PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT	
23	Plaintiff's Description of the Nature	of the Action: This is a medical malpractice
24	case arising out of the care and treatment p	provided to Plaintiff by Defendants at St. Rose
25	Hospital - Siena on December 4, 2017. Plai	ntiff claims the care and treatment and/or lack
26	thereof provided by Defendants on Decemb	er 4, 2017, caused her to undergo an above-
27	the-knee amputation of her left lower extre	mity and caused her right foot drop. Plaintiff

claims her allegations are supported by the medical records, CMS' Report, and witnesses

identified to testify in the case. Plaintiff's claims include: professional negligence, violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1395 and respondeat superior.

3

4

DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT B.

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

Defendants' Description of the Nature of the Action: This is a medical malpractice case arising out of the care and treatment provided to Plaintiff by Defendants on December 4, 2017. Plaintiff was provided an appropriate medical screening examination and treatment upon her presentation to St. Rose Hospital that did not reveal an emergent medical condition. Plaintiff was appropriately transferred to Seven Hills Hospital for inpatient psychiatric evaluation and treatment, where she developed acute limb ischemia that required further medical treatment at St. Rose Hospital. Defendants include: Dignity Health d/b/a St. Rose Dominican Hospital - Siena Campus and Scott Ferguson, M.D. Defendants deny liability in this matter. The facts have shown that Plaintiff's alleged injuries were not caused by Defendants' alleged negligence.

> a. Defendant Dignity Health d/b/a St. Rose Dominican Hospital-Siena Campus:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint on file herein fails to state a claim against this Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The injuries, if any, complained of by Plaintiff in the Second Amended Complaint were proximately caused by the acts or omissions of unknown third parties or other persons over whom this Defendant exercised no control and over who this Defendant had no right or duty to control, nor ever has had a right or duty to exercise control.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff did not exercise ordinary care, caution or prudence in the conduct of her affairs relating to the allegations of the Second Amended Complaint herein for damages in order to avoid the injuries or damages of which Plaintiff complains and said injuries or damages, if any, were directly and proximately contributed to or caused by the fault, carelessness and negligence of the Plaintiff.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The risks and consequences, if any, attendant to the recommendations and treatment proposed by this Defendant were fully explained to the Plaintiff who freely consented to such treatment and thereby assumed risks involved in such matter.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damages, if any, alleged by Plaintiff was not the result of any acts of omission, or commission, or negligence, but were the results of known risks which were consented to by the Plaintiff, such risks being inherent in the nature of the care rendered and such risks were assumed by the Plaintiff when they consented to treatment.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In all medical attention rendered by this Defendant to Plaintiff, this Defendant possessed and exercised that degree of skill and learning ordinarily possessed and exercised by the members of his/her profession in good standing, practicing in similar localities, and that at all times this Defendant used reasonable care and diligence in the exercise of his/her skills and the application of his/her learning, and at all times acted according to his/her best judgment; that the medical treatment administered by this Defendant was the usual and customary treatment for the physical condition and symptoms exhibited by Plaintiff, and that at no time was this Defendant guilty of negligence or improper treatment; that, on the contrary, this Defendant did perform each and every act of such treatment in a proper and efficient manner and in a manner most thoroughly approved and followed by the medical profession generally and under the circumstances and conditions as they existed when such medical attention was rendered.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The injuries complained of in the Second Amended Complaint, if any, were not the result of willful, malicious or deliberate conduct on the part of this answering Defendant.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That it has been necessary for the Defendant to employ the services of an attorney

4872-1299-1017.1

EWIS 28

1 to defend this action and a reasonable sum should be allowed Defendant for attorneys' 2 fees, together with costs of suit incurred herein. 3 NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 Defendant is liable for only that portion of the Plaintiff's claims that represents the 5 percentage of negligence, if any, attributed to Defendant. 6 **TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 7 Plaintiff has failed to plead any acts or omissions of this answering Defendant 8 sufficient to constitute punitive damages. 9 **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 10 Plaintiff failed to file her Second Amended Complaint before the running of the 11 applicable statute of limitation, thereby barring their claims for relief. 12 TWELFTH AFFIMRMATIVE DEFENSE 13 Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, and each claim asserted therein and the 14 relief sought, is barred by the statute of frauds. 15 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 16 Plaintiff's action is barred and/or diminished by the doctrines of waiver, laches, 17 estoppel, and/or unclean hands. 18 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 19 The incident alleged in the Second Amended Complaint and the resulting 20 damages, if any, to Plaintiff were proximately caused or contributed to by Plaintiff's own 21 negligence, and such negligence was greater than the alleged negligence of Defendant. 22 FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 23 If Plaintiff has sustained any injuries or damages, such were the result of 24 intervening and/or superseding events, factors, occurrences, or conditions, which were in 25 no way caused by Defendant, and for which Defendant is not liable. 26 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 27 Plaintiff is barred from recovering any special damages herein as a result of the 28 failure to comply with the provisions of N.R.C.P. 9(g).

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT AW

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has a duty to mitigate her damages and has failed to do so.

EIGHTTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff has been reimbursed from any source for any special damages claimed to have been sustained as a result of the incidents alleged in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant may elect to offer those amounts into evidence and, if Defendant so elects, Plaintiff's special damages shall be reduced by those amounts pursuant to NRS 42.021.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary parties.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that at all times mentioned in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Plaintiff was suffering from a medical condition(s) which Defendant did not cause, nor was Defendant responsible for said medical condition(s).

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant asserts that the Second Amended Complaint, or certain claims therein, should be dismissed on the basis that Plaintiff has not complied with NRS 41A.071.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendant in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42.

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if subsequent investigation warrants.

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT AW

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant hereby incorporates by reference those affirmative defenses enumerated in Rule 8 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure as if fully set forth herein. In the event further investigation or discovery reveals the applicability of any such defenses, Defendant reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend its Answer to specifically assert the same. Such defenses are herein incorporated by reference for the specific purpose of not waiving the same.

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs fail to state a claim for attorneys' fees and costs under the Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act, 42 U.S.C.§1395DD (EMTALA).

TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff fails to state a claim for punitive damages under EMTALA.

TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff fails to state a claim for civil monetary penalties under EMTALA.

TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff fails to state a claim for joint and several liability for all defendants under EMTALA.

TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant acted in good faith and without improper motive such that no claim lies under EMTALA.

THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any treatment of Plaintiff beyond that which Defendant provided was beyond the "stabilization" standards reasonably imposed by EMTALA, and therefore no claim lies under EMTALA.

THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant provided emergency medical services to Plaintiff within its capabilities and therefore is not subject to liability under EMTALA.

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

3

4 5

7

8

9

6

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

21

20

2223

24

26

25

27

28

THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant provided an appropriate medical screening to Plaintiff within its capabilities in an even handed and uniform manner that was consistent with its regular practice and reasonably calculated to determine whether or not an emergency medical condition existed and therefore is not subject to liability under EMTALA.

THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Plaintiff did not request, or withdrew the request for, further treatment, stabilization, and screening, thereby excusing Defendant from further compliance with EMTALA

THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant did not treat Plaintiff differently from other patients at the time alleged and within its service capabilities.

THIRTY-FIFTY AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The screening provided to Plaintiff did not reveal an emergency medical condition, thereby precluding any liability under EMTALA.

THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff was not in fact experiencing an emergency medical condition, thereby precluding liability under EMTALA.

THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff cannot state a cause of action for faulty or inadequate but otherwise uniform screening under EMTALA as a matter of law.

THIRTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff was stable or was stabilized at the time alleged, thus precluding liability against the Hospital under EMTALA.

THIRTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs cannot state a cause of action for failure to stabilize Plaintiff beyond the initial time of her "coming to" the Hospital under EMTALA as a matter of law.

FORTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint on file herein fails to state a claim for relief



upon which relief may be granted as to any claim for vicarious liability for treatment provided by co-defendant or non-parties.

FORTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to file any claim for vicarious liability for treatment provided by codefendant or non-parties before the running of the applicable statute of limitation, thereby barring such claims for relief.

FORTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' damages, if any, may be the result of action for which subrogation and/or indemnification agreements may exist and apply, making the answering Defendant partially or wholly protected from any such finding and/or judgment.

b. Defendant Dignity Health defenses to be abandoned:

Defendant Dignity Health is abandoning its Twelfth and Thirteenth Affirmative Defenses..

c. Defendant Scott R. Ferguson, M.D.:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's damages, if any, were not proximately caused by this Answering

Defendant's conduct.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is comparatively at fault; Plaintiff's recovery, if any, should be reduced in proportion to Plaintiff's fault, or in the event Plaintiff's fault exceeds that of this Answering Defendant, Plaintiff is not entitled to any recovery.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, are the result of forces of nature over which this Answering Defendant have no control or responsibility.

26

27

28

2

3 4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred from asserting any claims against this Answering Defendant because the alleged damages were the result of one or more unforeseeable intervening and superseding causes.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred from bringing this action for failure to comply with applicable contractual remedies and requirements, including arbitration, if applicable. Plaintiff's failure to comply with the contractual remedies and requirements notwithstanding, this Answering Defendant reserves its right to enforce any applicable arbitration provision.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damages, if any, incurred by Plaintiff were not attributable to any act, conduct, or omission on the part of this Answering Defendant. This Answering Defendant denies that it was culpable in any matter or in any degree with respect to the matters set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred due to the applicable statute of limitations applicable to each cause of action, and/or the doctrines of estoppel, laches and/or unclean hands.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused in whole or part by the negligence of third parties over which this Answering Defendant had no control.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to take reasonable efforts to mitigate her damages, if any, and is therefore barred from recovering any damages from this Answering Defendant.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's maladies and injuries, if any, were caused by inevitable disease processes and not by any act of this Answering Defendant.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Answering Defendant is entitled to all limitations, protections and other



1 provisions contained within NRS Chapter 41A and/or NRS 42.021.
2 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
3 This Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation of Plaintiff's

Complaint not specifically admitted or otherwise pled herein.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to comply with NRS 41A.071.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's non economic damages, if any, may not exceed \$350,000, pursuant to NRS 41A.035.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff is entitled to recover any damages from this Answering Defendant, this Answering Defendant may be held severally liable only for that portion of any judgment which represents the percentage of negligence attributable this Answering Defendant, pursuant to NRS 41A.045 and NRS 41.141.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff is entitled to recover any future damages from this Answering Defendant, this Answering Defendant may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS 42.021.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to name an indispensable party whose presence is indispensable to full relief.

NINTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all affirmative defenses have not been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts are not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of this Answering Defendant's Answer. This Answering Defendant reserves the right to allege additional affirmative defenses subsequently, if investigation so warrants.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Answering Defendant alleges that the injuries and damages, if any, suffered

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

by Plaintiff can and do occur in the absence of negligence.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The facts alleged by Plaintiff are insufficient to state a prayer for relief for punitive damages.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is not entitled to recover punitive damages.

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages against this Answering Defendant is barred. Imposition of such damages under NRS. 42.005, et seq., would be a denial of due process and equal protection under the law and such an award would violate these Answering Defendants' rights under Article 1, sections 8, 9 and 10 of the United States Constitution, the Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article 1, sections 6 and 8 of the Nevada Constitution.

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Answering Defendant hereby incorporate by reference those affirmative defenses enumerated in Rule 8 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure as if fully set forth herein, for the specific purpose of not waiving any such defenses. In the event further investigation or discovery reveals the applicability of any such defenses, or any other affirmative defenses, this Answering Defendant reserves the right to seek leave of court to amend this Answer to specifically assert any such defense.

II.

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as Plaintiff's Complaint includes a cause of action against Defendant Dignity Health dba St. Rose Dominican Hospital - Siena Campus, claiming 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd(a) ("EMTALA") was violated. Accordingly, the case requires the interpretation of federal law.

Jurisdiction for all remaining counts of this Complaint is based on pendant jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
15 16 17 18 19 20 21
16 17 18 19 20 21
17 18 19 20 21
18 19 20 21
19 20 21
20 21
21
22
,
23
24
25
26

Venue is properly conferred on this Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 15 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims alleged herein took place in this District.

III.

ADMISSION OF FACTS THAT REQUIRE NO PROOF

The following facts are admitted by the Parties and therefore require no proof:

- Plaintiff presented to the Emergency Department at St. Rose
 Dominican Hospital Siena on December 4, 2017.
- At all relevant times, Dr. Ferguson was and is a physician licensed in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all relevant times, Dr. Ferguson was not an employee of Defendant Dignity Health.

IV.

FACTS THAT WILL NOT BE CONTESTED AT TRIAL

The following facts, though not admitted, will not be contested at trial:

- Plaintiff was transported by ambulance to St. Rose Dominican
 Hospital Siena Campus on December 4, 2017.
- Plaintiff was transferred to Seven Hills Hospital on December 4,
 2017.
- Plaintiff was transferred from Seven Hills Hospital to St. Rose
 Hospital Siena on December 6, 2017.
- Plaintiff was admitted to St. Rose Hospital Siena on December 6, 2017.
- Plaintiff underwent an above-the-knee amputation of her left lower extremity on December 18, 2017.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 27 || / / /

28

2

1395dd(a);

3 4 Whether Plaintiff had an emergency medical condition when she presented to the hospital on December 4, 2017;

V.

<u>ISSUES OF FACT TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED AT TRIAL</u>

5 6 Whether Plaintiff received an appropriate medical screening examination on December 4, 2017 in accordance with 42 U.S.C. §

7

8

9

3. Whether Plaintiff suffered personal harm as a result of the alleged failure of Dignity Health d/b/a St. Rose Dominican Hospital-Siena to

10

11

12

4. Whether Defendants breached the standard of care in their care and

provide Plaintiff a proper medical screening examination;

5.

6.

treatment of Plaintiff on December 4, 2017;

13

fell below the standard of care, whether Defendants' breach caused

14 15

Plaintiff to undergo an above the knee amputation of Plaintiff's left

If Defendants' care and treatment of Plaintiff on December 4, 2017,

16

lower extremity;

17

If Defendants' care and treatment of Plaintiff on December 4, 2017, fell below the standard of care, whether Defendants' breach caused

18 19

Plaintiff's right foot drop;

20

7. Whether Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, were caused by the actions or omissions of a third party, or parties, and/or persons or

21 22

entities, over whom Defendants had no control;

23

 The reasonable and necessary medical expenses incurred by Plaintiff as a result of the subject incident;

2425

9. The sum of money (if any) sufficient to reasonably and fairly

26

compensate Plaintiff for her alleged physical and mental pain,

27

28

suffering, anguish and disability endured from the date of the subject

accident to the present;



- 10. The sum of money (if any) sufficient to reasonably and fairly compensate Plaintiff for her future physical and mental pain, suffering, anguish and disability that she will more likely than not experience as a result of the subject accident;
- 11. Whether Plaintiff was already suffering from a condition and/or disability at the time of the subject accident that caused her left leg amputation, right foot drop, and/or other claimed injuries;
- 12. Whether Plaintiff's pre-existing condition and/or disability, , was aggravated as a result of the subject incident. If so, the amount of damages attributed to the addition injury caused by the aggravation;
- 13. The amount of money (if any) sufficient to reasonably and fairly compensate Plaintiff for the Defendants' alleged negligence.
- 14. Whether Defendants acted with oppression or malice, express or implied, and if so the amount of damages appropriate to punish Defendants and deter them from such future conduct.

VI.

ISSUES OF LAW TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED AT TRIAL

The following are issues of law to be tried and determined at trial:

- Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an award for actual, consequential, punitive and compensatory and any other damages deemed appropriate against Defendants;
- 2. The duty that was owed to Plaintiff under EMTALA;
- 3. Whether Defendants' screening of Plaintiff was EMTALA compliant;
- Whether any damages available under EMTALA are governed by the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), Chapter 41A Actions for Professional Negligence, including but not limited to NRS 41A.035;
- Whether any damages available under EMTALA are governed by NRS 42.021;

28

- Whether Defendant Dignity Health is vicariously liable for the acts and/or omissions of Defendant Scott Ferguson, M.D.;
- 7. Whether the subject incident was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages;
- Whether Defendants breached a duty of care owed to Plaintiff on December 4, 2017; and
- If Defendants did in fact breach their duty to Plaintiff on December 4,
 2017, whether the breach was the proximate cause of the Plaintiff's alleged injuries.

VII.

TRIAL EXHIBITS

- A. The following exhibits are stipulated into evidence in this case and may be so marked by the clerk:
 - Medical Records from Seven Hills Behavioral Institute (Bates SDT-SH-0001-0144);
 - Medical records from Dignity Health d/b/a St. Rose Dominican Health Hospital-Siena Campus, (SRS0001-3748);
 - Imaging from St. Rose Dominican Hospital Siena Campus from 12/06/17 and 12/07/17;
 - Policy and Procedure Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act (EMTALA) Corporate Policy(P&P000001-000013).
 - 5. Policy and Procedure Legal 2000 (L2K) Patient Assessment & Monitoring; (P&P000014-000022).
- B. As to the following exhibits, the parties against whom the same will be offered objects to their admission on the grounds stated.
 - Plaintiff's Exhibits:
 - (a) Medical Records from VA (SDT-VASNHS-0001-785).Defendants object to Medical Records from the VA as



28

unauthenticated inadmissible hearsay.

- (b) Fremont Emergency Services Records (FES2-0001-0002). Defendants object to the Fremont Emergency Services Records (FES2-001-0002) as irrelevant, unauthenticated, inadmissible hearsay.
- (c) CMS Report dated 2/22/19 (pages 1-16). Defendants object to the CMS Report as inadmissible double hearsay that lacks foundation, relevance, and authentication. The probative value of the exhibit is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues and waste of time. The exhibit also improperly makes legal conclusions, invades the province of the jury, is cumulative in nature, and is untrustworthy.

2. Defendants' Exhibits:

- (a) Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department records (LVMPD-0001-0017). Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as it is irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, likely to confuse and mislead the jury, and likely to cause undue delay and waste the time of the court, the jury, and the parties and is therefore inadmissible under FRE 401 and 403. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it constitutes inadmissible character evidence prohibited by FRE 404. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it is unauthenticated hearsay which is inadmissible pursuant to FRE 802 and 901.
- (b) Henderson Police Department records (SDT-HPD-0001-77).
 Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as it is irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, likely to confuse and mislead

the jury, and likely to cause undue delay and waste the time of the court, the jury, and the parties and is therefore inadmissible under FRE 401 and 403. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it constitutes inadmissible character evidence prohibited by FRE 404. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it is unauthenticated hearsay which is inadmissible pursuant to FRE 802 and 901.

- (c) Facebook Records (PFB-0001-30 & FACEBOOK-000001-19). Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as it is irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, likely to confuse and mislead the jury, and likely to cause undue delay and waste the time of the court, the jury, and the parties and is therefore inadmissible under FRE 401 and 403. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it constitutes inadmissible character evidence prohibited by FRE 404. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it is unauthenticated hearsay which is inadmissible pursuant to FRE 802 and 901.
- (d) Letter from Department of Health & Human Services dated May 26, 2020 (CMS-0001-2). Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as it is irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, likely to confuse and mislead the jury, and likely to cause undue delay and waste the time of the court, the jury, and the parties and is therefore inadmissible under FRE 401 and 403. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it constitutes inadmissible character evidence prohibited by FRE 404. Plaintiff further objects to the

- admissibility of this exhibit on the basis that it is unauthenticated hearsay which is inadmissible pursuant to FRE 802 and 901.
- (e) Dignity Health's Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (f) Scott Ferguson, M.D.'s Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories. Scott Ferguson, M.D.'s Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories - Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (g) Plaintiff's Responses to Dignity Health's Interrogatories.
- (h) Plaintiff's Responses to Dignity Health's Requests for Production of Documents
- (i) Plaintiff's Responses to Scott Ferguson, M.D.'s Interrogatories
- (j) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Karen Tomczak, RN.Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (k) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of John Hyde. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.

- (I) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Karl Volk. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (m) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Michael Arambula, M.D. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (n) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Michael Jobin, M.D. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (o) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Rhonda Renteria, RN, CLCP. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (p) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Richard Bock, M.D. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (q) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Rich Chavez. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be

- admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (r) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Ryan Kotton, M.D. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (s) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Scott Kush. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (t) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of John Levin, M.D. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (u) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Samuel Wilson, M.D. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (v) Curriculum vitae, testimony history, fee schedule and expert report(s) of Lawrence Sporty, M.D. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of this exhibit by Dignity Health as it is hearsay and may only be admitted into evidence under the limited circumstances allowed by FRE 801.
- (w) Any and all exhibits listed by any other party regardless of

whether that party attempts to de-list the exhibit or fails to use it at the time of trial. Plaintiff reserves her right to object to any and all exhibits referenced in this subsection as set forth herein in response to each individually identified exhibit.

- (x) Demonstrative exhibits. Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as its description herein is so vague and ambiguous as to prevent Plaintiff from knowing its contents and/or forming an opinion as to its admissibility. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as no such exhibit has been produced by Defendants and Defendants are not entitled to conduct trial by ambush.
- (y) Medical Illustrations. Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as its description herein is so vague and ambiguous as to prevent Plaintiff from knowing its contents and/or forming an opinion as to its admissibility. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as no such exhibit has been produced by Defendants and Defendants are not entitled to conduct trial by ambush.
- (z) Various Record Enlargements. Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as its description herein is so vague and ambiguous as to prevent Plaintiff from knowing its contents and/or forming an opinion as to its admissibility. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as no such exhibit has been produced by Defendants and Defendants are not entitled to conduct trial by ambush.
- (aa) Medical Animation. Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as its description herein is so vague and ambiguous as to prevent Plaintiff from knowing its contents and/or forming

an opinion as to its admissibility. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as no such exhibit has been produced by Defendants and Defendants are not entitled to conduct trial by ambush.

- (bb) Impeachment Material. Plaintiff objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as its description herein is so vague and ambiguous as to prevent Plaintiff from knowing its contents and/or forming an opinion as to its admissibility. Plaintiff further objects to the admissibility of this exhibit as no such exhibit has been produced by Defendants and Defendants are not entitled to conduct trial by ambush.
- (cc) Any document listed by any other party. Plaintiff reserves her right to object to any and all exhibits referenced in this subsection as set forth herein in response to each individually identified exhibit.

C. Electronic evidence

The parties intend to present electronic evidence for purposes of jury deliberations.

D. Depositions

- Plaintiff will offer the following depositions: Plaintiff does not anticipate offering depositions.
- Defendants will offer the following depositions: Defendants reserve
 the right to offer the following depositions: Kenneth Parker, pages 157, all lines. Jane Parker, pages 1-49, all lines.

E. Objections to Depositions

- 1. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's depositions as follows: Not applicable.
- Plaintiff objects to Defendant's depositions as follows: Plaintiff reserves all objections to the use of depositions, except for form

28

1 objections that are waived for failure to raise said objection during the deposition. 2 3 VIII. 4 WITNESSES 5 The following witnesses may be called by the parties at trial: 6 A. Plaintiff's Witnesses: 7 1. Trina Parker c/o Brandon L. Phillips, Esq. 8 BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ATTORNEY AT LAW, PLLC 1455 E. Tropicana Avenue, Suite 750 9 Las Vegas, NV 891^a9 10 2. Scott R. Ferguson, M.D. c/o LEWIS BRISBOIS 11 Keith A. Weaver Danielle Woodrum 12 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 13 Las Vegas, NV 89118 14 3. Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records for DIGNITY 15 HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS c/o HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 16 Tyson J. Dobbs, Esq. 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 17 Las Vegas, NV 89144 18 19 4. Oscar Soraluz, M.D. Employee of; DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH 20 **HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS** 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409 21 Henderson, Nevada 89052 22 5. Thomas A. Damato, M.D. Employee of; 23 DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH **HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS** 24 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409 25 Henderson, Nevada 89052 26 6. Roman Sibel, M.D. Employee of; DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH 27 **HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS** 28 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409



1		Henderson, Nevada 89052
2	7.	Will W. Scamman, M.D. Employee of;
3		DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS
4		10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409 Henderson, Nevada 89052
5	8.	Jeromy Mendenhall, PAC, Employee of;
6	0.	DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH
7		HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409
8		Henderson, Nevada 89052
9	9.	Mustafa Rawaf, M DO, Employee of; DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH
10		HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409
11		Henderson, Nevada 89052
12	10.	Stacy J. Kim, M.D. Employee of;
13		DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS
14		10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409 Henderson, Nevada 89052
15		,
16	11.	Neel Dhudsha, M.D. Employee of; DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH
17		HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409
18		Henderson, Nevada 89052
19	12.	Ryan Labuz, DO, Employee of;
20		DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS
21		10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409 Henderson, Nevada 89052
22	13.	·
23	13.	Matthew Ripplinger, M.D. Employee of; DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH
24		HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409
25		Henderson, Nevada 89052
26	14.	Kaylan Jagarlamundi, M.D. Employee of; DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH
27		HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS
28		10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409

1		Henderson, Nevada 89052
2	15.	Gilbert Nyamuswa, M.D. Employee of; DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH
3		HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS 10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409
4		Henderson, Nevada 89052
5 6	16.	Jennifer Sikand, M.D. Employee of;
7		DIGNITY HEALTH dba ST ROSE DOMINICAN HEALTH HOSPITAL-SIENA CAMPUS
8		10001 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 409 Henderson, Nevada 89052
9	17.	Derson Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Decords for Frement
10	17.	Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records for Fremont Emergency Services
11		PO BOX 638972 Cincinnati, OH 45262
12	18.	Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records for Seven Hills
13		Hospital 3021 W Horizon Ridge Pkwy,
14		Henderson, NV 89052
15	19.	Suresh Bhushan, M.D. Employee of; Seven Hills Hospital
16 17		3021 W Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Henderson, NV 89052
18	20.	Alok Saxena, M.D. Employee of;
19		Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W Horizon Ridge Pkwy,
20		Henderson, NV 89052
21	21.	Victoria Escarda, NP Employee of Seven Hills Hospital
22		3021 W Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Henderson, NV 89052
23	22.	Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records for Community
24		Ambulance PO BOX 98821
25		Las Vegas, NV 89193
26 27	23.	Daniel Munoz, AEMT, Employee of Community Ambulance
27 28		PO BOX 98821 Las Vegas, NV 89193
		Las vegas, IVV 03133

- 1		
1		
2	24.	Devin Chavez, AEMT, Employee of Community Ambulance PO BOX 98821
3		Las Vegas, NV 89193
4	25.	Eric Thyr, AEMT, Employee of
5		Community Ambulance PO BOX 98821
6		Las Vegas, NV 89193
7	26.	Michael Garduno, Paramedic, Employee of Community Ambulance
8		PO BOX 98821
9	_	Las Vegas, NV 89193
10	27.	Sarah Derieth, Paramedic, Employee of Community Ambulance
11		PO BOX 98821 Las Vegas, NV 89193
12	28.	Caitlin Medina, AEMT, Employee of
13	20.	Community Ambulance
14 15		PO BOX 98821 Las Vegas, NV 89193
16 16	29.	Kim Shaw, Employee of
10 17		St. Rose Dominican Hospitals - Siena Campus 3001 St. Rose Parkway
' <i>'</i> 18		Henderson, NV 89052
19	30.	Aileen Renolyan Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
20		90 7th Street, Suite 5-300
21		San Francisco, CA 94103
22	31.	Paula Perse Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
23		90 7 th Street, Suite 5-300 San Francisco, CA 94103
24	32.	Alex Garza
25	JZ.	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
26		90 7th Street, Suite 5-300 San Francisco, CA 94103
27	33.	Maureen Calacal
28		Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 90 7th Street, Suite 5-300

- 1		
1		San Francisco, CA 94103
2	34.	Jane Parker
3		7745 Larchwood Way San Diego, California 92120
4	35.	Ken Parker
5		7745 Larchwood Way San Diego, California 92120
6		
7	36.	Jackie Girard 2580 Lockerbie Street
8		Henderson, Nevada 89044
9	37.	Marc Farraye, M.D Expert Witness
10		FarrayEMed Emergency Medicine 218 Sophia Terrace
11		St Augustine FL 32095-6812,
12	38.	Kevin B. Kirkendall, MBA
13		Kirkendall Consulting Group, LLC 1522 West Warm Springs
14		Henderson, NV 89014
15	39.	Jon Burroughs, M.D Rebuttal Expert Witness The Burroughs Healthcare Consulting Network, Inc.
16		48 Forest Ledge Road
17		PO Box 540 Glen, NH 03838
18	40.	Joel Silberberg, M.D Rebuttal Expert Witness
19		4525 Dean Martin Drive, Ste. 1108 Las Vegs, NV 89103
20	4.1	
21	41.	Dr. James A. Burks, Jr, MD - Rebuttal Expert Witness Vascular and Endovascular Surgery
22		16350 Ventura Blvd Suite D156 Encino, CA 91436
23	42.	Angela Hollis -Expert Witness
24		220 W. Main Street, Suite 2150
25	D	Louisville, Kentucky 40202
26	Plaint the Defenda	iff reserves the right to call any and all witnesses that have been identified by nts.
27		
28		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT AW

1		
2	B. Defendant D	Dignity Health's Witnesses:1
3	1.	Trina Parker, Plaintiff
4		c/o Brandon Phillips, Esq. BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ATTORNEY AT LAW, PLLC
5		1455 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite 750 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
6	2.	Scott Ferguson, M.D.
7	۷.	c/o LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600
8		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
9	3.	Mustafa Rawaf, D.O. 3016 W. Charleston Blvd.
10		Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
11	4.	Daniel Gowhari, D.O.
12		7391 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
13	_	
14	5.	Victoria Escarda, APRN Seven Hills Hospital
15		3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway Henderson, Nevada 89052
16		
17	6.	Alton Williams, CNA c/o Tyson Dobbs
18		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350
19		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
20	7.	Celeste, RN
21		Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy.
22		Henderson, NV 89052
23	8.	Alok Saxena, M.D.
24		Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway
25		
26	¹ For purposes of judic	sial economy and efficiency, Defendant Dignity Health dba St. Rose Dominican
27	Hospital has not re-listed to call any witness pre-	ed every witness identified by Defendant Dr. Ferguson. Defendant reserves the right viously disclosed in discovery or identified in this pre-trial order by Defendant Dr.
28	Ferguson and/or Plaint	tiff Trina Parker.

1 Henderson, Nevada 89052 2 9. Suresh Bhushan, M.D. 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway 3 Henderson, Nevada 89052 4 10. Emily Mazengwe, RN 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway 5 Henderson, Nevada 89052 6 11. Thomas Damato, M.D. 7 5320 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 282 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 8 12. James Chang, RN 9 Seven Hills Hospital 10 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy. Henderson, Nevada 89052 11 13. Ervin Miller, RN 12 Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy. 13 Henderson, Nevada 89052 14 14. Elizabeth Wilkes, RN 15 c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 16 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 17 18 15. Stacey Marino, MSW c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. 19 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 21 16. Caroline Newby, RN c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. 22 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 23 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 24 17. Breyanna Williams, CNA 25 c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 26 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 27 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 28 18. Adrianne Prosper, RN

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT AW

- 1		
1 2		c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350
3		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
4	19.	Carolyn Smith, RN c/o Tyson Dobbs
5		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350
6		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
7	20.	Amanda Dewitt, RN
8		c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
9 10		1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
11	21.	Aileen Cadora, CNA c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq.
12		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200
13		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
14 15	22.	Kathleen Robinson, R.N.
16		c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200
17		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
18	23.	Ryan Kotton, M.D. 8436 West 3 rd Street, Suite 601
19		Los Angeles, California 90048
20	24.	Karl Erik Volk
21		1155 Alpine Road Walnut Creek, California 94596
22	25.	Michael Jobin, M.D., F.A.C.E.P.
23		655 Leyden St. Denver, Colorado 80220
24	26	•
25 26	26.	Michael Arambula, M.D., PharmD. 14800 US 281 North, Suite 110 San Antonio, Texas 78232
27	27.	Karen Tomczak, MSN, RN, CEN
28		2244 Kenry Way South San Francisco, California 94080
- 1	1	· ·

1	28.	Richard Bock, M.D. 343 Racquet Club Road Asheville, North Carolina 28803
3		
4 5	29.	Rick Chavez, CPO 29558 Woodbruck Drive Agoura Hills, California 91301
6 7	30.	Rhonda Renteria 315 N. Puente Street, Suite A Brea, California 92821
8 9	31.	Scott Kush, M.D., J.D., M.P.H. 101 Jefferson Drive Melo Park, California 94025
10 11 12	32.	John Hyde, Ph.D., FACHE 4301 Highway 35 North Forest, Mississippi 39074
13 14	33.	Oscar Soraluz, M.D. 2900 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Suite 221 Henderson, Nevada 89052
15 16	34.	Stephanos Orphanidis, M.D. 1533 Via Cassia Henderson, Nevada 89052
17 18 19	35.	M. Yukie, RN Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy. Henderson, Nevada 89052
20 21 22	36.	Carolyn Pugh, RN c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
23242526	37.	Gabe Fekete, RN c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
27 28	38.	Stephen Reid, RN c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

1		1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
2	39.	Vicki Sanders, CNA
3 4	39.	c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
5		1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
6	40.	Genevieve Chua, RN
7	-	c/o Tyson Dobbs
8		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
9	41.	Cristina Pinkerton, RN
10		c/o Tyson Dobbs
11		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350
12		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
13	42.	Andrew Allison, RN
14		c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
15		1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
16	43.	Sarah Derleth, EMT
17		Community Ambulance 91 Corporate Park Drive, #120
18		Henderson, NV 89074
19		
20	44.	Neel Dhudsha, M.D. c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq.
21		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200
22		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
23	45.	Stacy Kim, M.D.
24		c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
25		1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
26	46.	Jeromy Mendenhall, PA
27		3175 St. Rose Pkwy., Suite 320
28		Henderson, Nevada 89052



1	47.	Sibimol Sabu, RN
2		c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
3		1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
4	48.	Sunsia Dechasit, RN
5		c/o Tyson Dobbs
6		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350
7		Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
8	49.	Andrew Grabin, RT c/o Tyson Dobbs
9		HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
10		1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
11		
12	50.	Heber Phillips, D.O. c/o Keith Weaver, Esq.
13		LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
14	51.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
15	51.	Roman Sibel, MD Orthopedic Foot & Ankle Institute
16		3175 St. Rose Pkwy., Ste. 320 Henderson, Nevada 89052
17	52.	Ryan Labuz, DO
18		Sound Physicians 3001 St. Rose Pkwy.
19		Henderson, NV 89052
20	53.	Dennis DeJesus, M.D.
21	33.	11995 Singletree Lane, Suite 500
22		Eden Prairie, MN 55344 (952) 595-1100
23	54.	Russell Gollard, M.D.
24		4750 W. Oakey Blvd., Suite 2B Las Vegas, NV 89102
25		
26	55.	Will W. Scamman, M.D. Associated Pathologists, Chartered at St. Rose Hospital
27		4230 Burnham Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89119
28		-

1 2 3	56.	Neel Dhudshia, M.D. Cardiovascular Surgery of Southern Nevada 5320 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 282 Las Vegas, NV 89118
4 5 6	57.	Marija Djokovic, APN c/o Keith Weaver, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89118
7 8	58.	Jane Parker 7745 Larchwood Way San Diego, CA 92120
9 10 11	59.	Ken Parker 7745 Larchwood Way San Diego, CA 92120
12	C. Defendant So	cott Ferguson, M.D.'s Witnesses: ²
13 14 15 16	1.	Trina Parker, Plaintiff c/o Brandon Phillips, Esq. BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ATTORNEY AT LAW, PLLC 1455 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite 750 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
17 18 19	2.	Scott Ferguson, M.D. c/o LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
20 21 22	3.	Amanda Dewitt, RN c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
23 24 25	4.	Mustafa Rawaf, D.O. 3016 W. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
26 27 28	Defendant Dignity Heal witness previously discl	al economy and efficiency, Dr. Ferguson has not re-listed every witness identified by th dba St. Rose Dominican Hospital. Dr. Ferguson reserves the right to call any osed in discovery or identified in this pre-trial order by Defendant Dignity Health dba spital and/or Plaintiff Trina Parker.

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT AW

1	_	D : 10 1 : D0
2	5.	Daniel Gowhari, D.O. 7391 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 140
3	6.	Victoria Escarda, APRN Seven Hills Hospital
5		3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway Henderson, Nevada 89052
6	7.	Celeste, RN
7		Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy. Henderson, NV 89052
9	8.	Alok Saxena, M.D.
10		Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway
11		Henderson, Nevada 89052
12	9.	Suresh Bhushan, M.D.
13		3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway Henderson, Nevada 89052
14	10.	Emily Mazengwe, RN
15		3021 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway Henderson, Nevada 89052
16	11.	Thomas Damato, M.D.
17		5320 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 282 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
18	12.	James Chang, RN
19		Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy.
20		Henderson, Nevada 89052
21	13.	Ervin Miller, RN
22		Seven Hills Hospital 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy.
23		Henderson, Nevada 89052
24	14.	Jane Parker 7745 Larchwood Way
25 26		San Diego, California 92120
	15.	Kenneth Parker
27	15.	7745 Larchwood Way
28		San Diego, California 92120



	1	
1	10	Marija Dialassia, ADDN
2	16.	Marija Djokovic, APRN c/o Keith Weaver, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
3		6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
5	17.	John Levin, M.D.
6	17.	9609 Oak Pass Road Beverly Hills, California 90210
7	18.	Samuel Wilson, M.D.
8	1.01	1436 Via Castilla Palos Verdes Estates, California 90274
9	19.	Lawrence Sporty, M.D.
10		1901 Holly Tree Lane
11		Santa Ana, California 92705
12	20.	Ryan Kotton, M.D. 8436 West 3 rd Street, Suite 601
13		Los Angeles, California 90048
14	21.	Karl Erik Volk
15		1155 Alpine Road Walnut Creek, California 94596
16	22.	Rick Chavez, CPO
17		29558 Woodbruck Drive Agoura Hills, California 91301
18	23.	Rhonda Renteria
19		315 N. Puente Street, Suite A
20		Brea, California 92821
21	24.	Carlos Inzunza 424 15 th Street, Suite 100
22		San Diego, CA 92101
23	25.	Jenni McKenna
24		9065 S. Pecos Road Henderson, Nevada 89704
25	26.	Jake Schumers
26		9065 S. Pecos Road Henderson, Nevada 89704
27		•
28	27.	Scott Kush, M.D., J.D., M.P.H. 101 Jefferson Drive

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITHUP
ATTORNEYS AT AW

4872-1299-1017.1

Melo Park, California 94025 1 2 28. Stephanos Orphanidis, M.D. 1533 Via Cassia 3 Henderson, Nevada 89052 4 29. M. Yukie, RN Seven Hills Hospital 5 3021 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy. 6 Henderson, Nevada 89052 7 30. Sarah Derleth, EMT Community Ambulance 8 91 Corporate Park Drive, #120 Henderson, NV 89074 9 10 31. Jeromy Mendenhall, PA 3175 St. Rose Pkwy., Suite 320 11 Henderson, Nevada 89052 12 32. Heber Phillips, D.O. c/o Keith Weaver, Esq. 13 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP 14 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 15 33. Person(s) Most Knowledgeable/Custodian of Records 16 Fremont Emergency Services c/o Keith A. Weaver, Esq. 17 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 19 34. Vicki Sanders, CNA 20 c/o Tyson Dobbs HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 21 1140 North Town Center Drive, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 22 23 35. Kathleen Robinson, R.N. 24 c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 25 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 26 /// 27 111 28

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT AW

Stacey Marino, MSW c/o Tyson Dobbs, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Defendants reserve the right to call any of Plaintiff's witnesses listed in prior discovery disclosures or pre-trial order. Defendant also reserves the right to call any Custodian of Records necessary to authenticate documents.

IX.

TRIAL DATES

The attorneys or parties have met and jointly offer these three trial dates: December 5, 2022, April 3, 2023 and August 14, 2023. It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the court will set the trial of this matter on one of the agreed-upon dates if possible; if not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the court's calendar.

X.

LENGTH OF TRIAL

It is estimated that the trial will take a total of 14 full court days.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Dated: July 14, 2022 Dated: July ___, 2022 BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ATTORNEY HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC /s/ Brandon L. Phillips /s/ Tyson J. Dobbs, Esq. BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ESQ. TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11953

1455 E. Tropicana Ave., Ste. 750 MICHAEL J. SHANNON, ESQ. Las Vegas, NV 89119 Nevada Bar No. 7510 Attorney for Plaintiff 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Defendant Dignity Health

d/b/a St. Rose Dominican Hospital-Siena Campus

1	Dated: July 14, 2022				
2	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP				
3					
4	/s/ Keith Weaver KEITH A. WEAVER				
5	Nevada Bar No. 10271 ALISSA N. BESTICK				
6	Nevada Bar No. 14979C				
7	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118				
8	Attorneys for Defendant Scott R.				
9	Ferguson, M.D.				
10	XI.				
	ACTION BY THE COURT				
11					
12	This case is set for court /jury trial on the fixed /stacked calendar on <u>December 5, 2022</u> at				
13					
14	9:00 a.m Calendar call will be held on November 23, 2022 at 9:30 a.m				
15					
16	Dated: July <u>26</u> , 2022.				
17	The state of the s				
18	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DISTRICT				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					